

# SHORT LECTURE ON SHEAVES AND DERIVED CATEGORIES

STÉPHANE GUILLERMOU

ABSTRACT. Extended notes of the first lecture of a minicourse in Montreal, June 2019.

## 1. SHEAVES

In this section  $\mathbf{k}$  is a given ring.

**1.1. Definition.** A presheaf  $P$  (of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules) on a topological space  $X$  is the data of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules  $P(U)$  for all open subsets  $U$  of  $X$  together with linear maps  $r_U^V: P(U) \rightarrow P(V)$  for all inclusions  $V \subset U$  such that  $r_V^W \circ r_U^V = r_U^W$  for  $W \subset V \subset U$ . For a *section*  $s \in P(U)$  we usually set  $s|_V = r_U^V(s)$ . A sheaf  $F$  is a presheaf such that, for any covering  $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  and sections  $s_i \in F(U_i)$  satisfying  $s_i|_{U_{ij}} = s_j|_{U_{ij}}$ , there exists a unique  $s \in F(U)$  such that  $s_i = s|_{U_i}$ .

We often set  $\Gamma(U; F) = F(U)$ .

The stalk of a presheaf at  $x \in X$  is  $P_x = \varinjlim_{U \in X} P(U)$ , where  $U$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $x$ .

A morphism of presheaves  $f: P \rightarrow P'$  is the data of groups morphisms  $f(U): P(U) \rightarrow P'(U)$  which commute with the restriction maps, that is,  $r'_{V,U} \circ f(U) = f(V) \circ r_{V,U}$ , for all  $V \subset U \subset X$ . A morphism of sheaves is a morphism of the underlying presheaves.

We denote by  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  the category of sheaves of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules on  $X$ .

**Examples 1.1.** (i) The constant sheaf  $\mathbf{k}_X$  on  $X$  is defined by  $\mathbf{k}_X(U) = \{f: U \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant}\}$ . If  $N$  is a  $\mathbf{k}$ -module, we define  $N_X$ , the constant sheaf with stalks  $N$ , in the same way.

(ii) If  $Z \subset X$  is a closed subset, we define  $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z}$  (or  $\mathbf{k}_Z$  if  $X$  is understood) by  $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z}(U) = \{f: U \cap Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant}\}$ .

A morphism  $u$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $u_x$  is an isomorphism for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.2** (Associated sheaf of a presheaf). *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $P \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . There exist a sheaf  $P^a$  and a morphism of*

presheaves  $u: P \rightarrow P^a$  such that  $u_x$  is an isomorphism, for each  $x \in X$ . Moreover the pair  $(P^a, u)$  is unique up to isomorphism.

Any morphism  $v$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  has a kernel, given by  $U \mapsto \ker v(U)$ , and a cokernel, given by  $(U \mapsto \text{coker } v(U))^a$ . The category  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  is abelian (which means that it is additive, kernel and cokernel exist and are well-behaved in the sense “ $\ker(\text{coker}(v)) \simeq \text{coker}(\ker(v))$ ”). We can also check: a sequence  $F \xrightarrow{u} G \xrightarrow{v} H$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  is exact if and only if the sequences of stalks  $F_x \xrightarrow{u_x} G_x \xrightarrow{v_x} H_x$  are exact for all  $x \in X$ .

## 1.2. Operations.

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $F_i, i \in I$ , be a family of sheaves in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . Then the product  $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$  and the sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i$  exist in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . The product is the sheaf defined by  $\Gamma(U; \prod_{i \in I} F_i) = \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma(U; F_i)$  for any open subset  $U$ . The sum is the sheaf associated with the presheaf  $U \mapsto \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Gamma(U; F_i)$ . For any  $x \in X$  we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$(1.1) \quad \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} F_i \right)_x \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} (F_i)_x.$$

**Definition 1.4.** For  $F, G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  we define a sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om(F, G) \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ , the *internal hom* sheaf, by

$$\Gamma(U; \mathcal{H}om(F, G)) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_U)}(F|_U, G|_U).$$

We define the tensor product  $F \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} G$  as the sheaf associated with the presheaf  $U \mapsto F(U) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} G(U)$ .

We can prove

$$(1.2) \quad (F \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} G)_x \simeq F_x \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} G_x, \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

**Lemma 1.5.** *The functor  $\mathcal{H}om(\cdot, \cdot)$  is left exact in both arguments. The functor  $\cdot \otimes_{\mathbf{k}_X} \cdot$  is right exact in both arguments, and exact if  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field.*

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces.

**Definition 1.6.** For  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  we define a sheaf  $f_*F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$  by  $(f_*F)(V) = F(f^{-1}(V))$  for any open subset  $V \subset Y$ , with the restriction maps naturally given by those of  $F$  (it is clear that  $f_*F$  is a presheaf and it is easy to check that it is actually a sheaf).

If  $u: F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ , we define  $f_*u: f_*F \rightarrow f_*G$  by  $(f_*u)(V) = u(f^{-1}(V))$ . We obtain a functor  $f_*: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *For any continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , the functor  $f_*$  is left exact.*

**Definition 1.8.** For  $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$  we define a presheaf  $f^\dagger G$  on  $X$  by  $(f^\dagger G)(U) = \varinjlim_{V \supset f(U)} G(V)$ , where  $V$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $f(U)$  in  $Y$ . The restriction maps are naturally induced by those of  $G$ . We set  $f^{-1}G = (f^\dagger G)^a$ .

A morphism  $u: F \rightarrow G$  induces morphisms on the inductive limits,  $(f^\dagger u)(U): (f^\dagger F)(U) \rightarrow (f^\dagger G)(U)$ , for all  $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ , which are compatible and define  $f^\dagger u: f^\dagger F \rightarrow f^\dagger G$ . We set  $f^{-1}u = (f^\dagger u)^a$ . We thus obtain a functor  $f^{-1}: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ .

**Lemma 1.9.** *The functor  $f^{-1}$  is left adjoint to  $f_*$ . In particular there exist natural isomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(f^{-1}G, F) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, f_*F)$  for all  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ ,  $G \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ .*

When  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an embedding we often write

$$G|_X := f^{-1}G.$$

If  $f$  is the inclusion of an open set, we have  $(G|_X)(U) = G(U)$ , for all  $U \in \text{Op}(X)$ .

**Example 1.10.** Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff topological space and  $Z \subset X$  a compact subset. Then, for any  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  and  $V \in \text{Op}(Z)$ , we have  $(F|_Z)(V) \simeq \varinjlim_{U \supset V} F(U)$ , where  $U$  runs over the open neighborhoods of  $V$  in  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.11.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map and let  $x \in Y$ . For any  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$  we have a natural isomorphism  $(f^{-1}F)_x \simeq F_{f(x)}$ .*

Since the exactness of a sequence of sheaves can be checked in the stalks we deduce:

**Lemma 1.12.** *For any continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , the functor  $f^{-1}$  is exact.*

**1.3. Locally closed subsets.** A subset  $W$  of  $X$  is locally closed subset if we can write  $W = U \cap Z$  with  $U$  open and  $Z$  closed.

**Lemma 1.13.** *Let  $W \subset X$  be a locally closed subset and  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . Then there exists a unique sheaf  $F_W \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  such that  $F_W|_W \simeq F|_W$  and  $F_W|_{X \setminus W} \simeq 0$ . Moreover we have  $F_W \simeq F \otimes (\mathbf{k}_X)_W$ .*

We set for short  $\mathbf{k}_{X,W} = (\mathbf{k}_X)_W$  and even  $\mathbf{k}_W = \mathbf{k}_{X,W}$  when it is clear that we consider sheaves on  $X$ .

**Example 1.14.** If  $W$  is closed in  $X$ , the sheaf  $\mathbf{k}_W$  is already defined in Example 1.1. In general we have  $\mathbf{k}_W(U) \simeq \{f: U \cap W \rightarrow \mathbf{k}; f \text{ is locally constant and } \{x; f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is closed in } U\}$ .

**Lemma 1.15** (Excision). *Let  $W \subset X$  be a locally closed subset and let  $W' \subset W$  be a closed subset of  $W$ . Then  $W'$  and  $W \setminus W'$  are locally closed in  $X$  and we have an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{W \setminus W'} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_W \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{W'} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 1.16** (Mayer-Vietoris). *Let  $Z_1, Z_2 \subset X$  be closed subsets and  $U_1, U_2 \subset X$  open subsets. We have exact sequences*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1 \cup Z_2} &\rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{Z_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_1 \cap Z_2} \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1 \cap U_2} &\rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{U_2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{U_1 \cup U_2} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

**1.4. Proper direct image.** A topological space  $X$  is locally compact if, for any  $x \in X$  and any neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ , there exists a compact neighborhood of  $x$  contained in  $U$ . Now we assume  $X, Y$  are Hausdorff and locally compact. Then a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is *proper* if the inverse image of any compact subset of  $Y$  is compact.

**Definition 1.17.** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map of Hausdorff and locally compact spaces. For  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  we define a subsheaf  $f_!F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$  of  $f_*F$  by

$$(f_!F)(V) = \{s \in (f^{-1}(V)); f|_{\text{supp } s}: \text{supp}(s) \rightarrow V \text{ is proper}\}$$

for any open subset  $V \subset Y$ . If  $u: F \rightarrow G$  is a morphism in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ , the morphism  $f_*u: f_*F \rightarrow f_*G$  sends  $f_!F$  to  $f_!G$ . We obtain a functor  $f_!: \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ .

If the map  $f$  itself is proper, then we have  $f_! \xrightarrow{\simeq} f_*$ .

**Lemma 1.18.** *The functor  $f_!$  is left exact.*

For  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  and  $U \in \text{Op}(X)$  we set

$$\Gamma_c(U; F) = \{s \in F(U); \text{supp}(s) \text{ is compact.}\}$$

We have  $\Gamma_c(U; F) \simeq a_!(F|_U)$ , where  $a$  is the projection  $U \rightarrow \{\text{pt}\}$ .

**Proposition 1.19.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be as in Definition 1.17. For any  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  and  $y \in Y$  we have*

$$(f_!F)_y \simeq \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(y); F|_{f^{-1}(y)}).$$

**Example 1.20.** In the situation of Lemma 1.13 let  $j: Z \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion. Then  $\mathbf{k}_{X,Z} \simeq j_!\mathbf{k}_Z$  and  $F_Z \simeq j_!j^{-1}F$ .

1.5. **Enough injectives in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ .** We first remark the following general result.

**Lemma 1.21.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map and assume that  $I \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  is injective. Then  $f_*I \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$  is injective.*

*Proof.* The injectivity of  $f_*(I)$  means that the map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(G, f_*I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}'}(F, f_*I)$$

is surjective, for all monomorphism  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow G$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ . Since  $f^{-1}$  is exact,  $f^{-1}F \rightarrow f^{-1}G$  is also a monomorphism and the injectivity of  $I$  gives the surjectivity of

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f^{-1}G, I) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(f^{-1}F, I).$$

The result follows since  $(f^{-1}, f_*)$  is an adjoint pair.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $X^d$  be the set  $X$  endowed with the discrete topology (that is, any subset is open). The identity map  $i: X^d \rightarrow X$  is continuous. For any  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  the adjunction  $(i^{-1}, i_*)$  gives a morphism

$$(1.3) \quad \varepsilon_F: F \rightarrow i_*i^{-1}F.$$

For  $U \in \text{Op}(X)$  we have  $(i_*i^{-1}F)(U) \simeq \prod_{x \in U} F_x$ . We deduce:

**Lemma 1.22.** *For any  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  the adjunction morphism (1.3) is a monomorphism.*

We remark that sheaves on  $X^d$  are easy to describe:  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(X^d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d}) \simeq (\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}))^{X^d}$ , that is, a sheaf  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  is a family of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules  $F_x$  indexed by  $X$ . The exactness of a sequence is checked pointwise. We deduce that, if  $F_x$  is injective in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k})$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $F = \{F_x\}_{x \in X}$  is injective in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$ . In particular  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  has enough injectives: for a given  $F = \{F_x\}_{x \in X}$  we choose a monomorphism  $F_x \rightarrow I_x$ , for all  $x \in X$ , where  $I_x$  is injective (which is possible since  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k})$  has enough injectives). Then  $I = \{I_x\}_{x \in X}$  is injective in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  and  $F \rightarrow I$  is a monomorphism.

**Proposition 1.23.** *For any topological space  $X$ ,  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  has enough injectives.*

*Proof.* Let  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . We have remarked that  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  has enough injectives. Hence there exists a monomorphism  $i^{-1}F \rightarrow I$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  with  $I$  injective. Since  $i_*$  is left exact it induces a monomorphism  $i_*i^{-1}F \rightarrow i_*I$  in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . Composing with (1.3) and using Lemma 1.22 we have a monomorphism  $F \rightarrow i_*I$ . By Lemma 1.21 the sheaf  $i_*I$  is injective and we obtain the result.  $\square$

We remark that if  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field, any sheaf in  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^d})$  is injective and the morphism (1.3) is already a monomorphism from  $F$  to an injective object. In this situation the standard way of building an injective resolution of a given  $F$  (that is, we start with  $I^0 = i_*i^{-1}F$  and apply the procedure to  $\text{coker } \varepsilon_F$ , defining  $I^1 = i_*i^{-1}(\text{coker } \varepsilon_F)$ , then to  $\text{coker } d^1, \dots$ ) gives the so called *Godement resolution* of  $F$ .

**1.6. Derived functors.** By Proposition 1.23 all left exact functors from  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  to an abelian category have a right derived functor (see Definition 2.18 below). In particular we can consider  $\text{RHom}$  (the derived functor of  $\text{Hom}$  from  $\text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  to the category of Abelian groups),  $\text{R}\mathcal{H}om$ ,  $\text{R}f_*$  and  $\text{R}f_!$ . For an open subset  $U \subset X$  we have the left exact functors  $\Gamma(U; \cdot)$  and  $\Gamma_c(U; \cdot)$ . Their derived functors are denoted  $\text{R}\Gamma(U; \cdot)$  and  $\text{R}\Gamma_c(U; \cdot)$ . We also use

$$H^i(U; F) := H^i\text{R}\Gamma(U; F), \quad H_c^i(U; F) := H^i\text{R}\Gamma_c(U; F).$$

We can also prove that the tensor product has a left derived functor, denoted  $\overset{\text{L}}{\otimes}$ .

**An example: the cohomology of an interval.** A sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  is *flabby* if, for any open subset  $U \subset X$ , the restriction morphism  $F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$  is surjective. We can check that, when  $\mathbf{k}$  is a field, flabby is the same thing as injective. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. The family of flabby sheaves is  $f_*$ -injective, which implies that we can compute  $\text{R}f_*(F)$  using a flabby resolution of  $F$ . We apply this result to the computation of  $H^i(\mathbb{R}; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]})$  for a closed interval  $[a, b]$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

We recall the monomorphism (1.3)  $\varepsilon: \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow i_*i^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$ , where  $i$  is the map from  $\mathbb{R}$  with the discrete topology to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We can identify  $i_*i^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$  with the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}$  of functions on  $[a, b]$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(U) = \{f: U \cap [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ . This sheaf is flabby since we can extend a function defined on  $U \cap [a, b]$  arbitrarily to a function defined on  $[a, b]$ . We define  $G = \text{coker}(\varepsilon)$  and we have the short exact sequence:

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

**Lemma 1.24.** *For any open subset  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  the sequence (1.4) gives the exact sequence of sections:*

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{a(U)} \Gamma(U; \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{b(U)} \Gamma(U; G) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Long exercise. □

**Lemma 1.25.** *The sheaf  $G$  of (1.4) is flabby.*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $s \in G(U)$  be given. By Lemma 1.24 there exists  $s' \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(U)$  such that  $b(U)(s') = s$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}$  is flabby, there exists  $t' \in \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $t'|_U = s'$ . Then  $t = b(\mathbb{R})(t')$  satisfies  $t|_U = s$ .  $\square$

Hence (1.4) gives a flabby resolution of  $\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$ . We deduce that for any open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}$

$$H^i(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq H^i(0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U; \mathcal{F}_{[a,b]}) \xrightarrow{b(U)} \Gamma(U; G) \rightarrow 0).$$

By Lemma 1.24 the morphism  $b(U)$  is surjective and we obtain that the cohomology of  $\mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}$  is concentrated in degree 0:

**Proposition 1.26.** *Let  $[a, b]$  be a closed interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For any open interval  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $U \cap [a, b] \neq \emptyset$ , we have*

$$H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq \mathbf{k} \quad \text{and} \quad H^i(U; \mathbf{k}_{[a,b]}) \simeq 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq 0.$$

We can prove in the same way that, if  $B$  is a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $H^*(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_B)$  is concentrated in degree 0, where it is  $\mathbf{k}$ . We can deduce that  $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_X)$  is concentrated in degree 0, as soon as  $X$  is contractible (see [3, §2.7]). Using the sequences of the next paragraph it follows that the Eilenberg–Steenrod axioms are satisfied and we have  $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_X) \simeq H^*(X; \mathbf{k})$  for any CW complex  $X$ . Let us rewrite this as follows.

**Theorem 1.27.** *Let  $Z \subset X$  be a closed subset. If  $Z$  is a CW complex, then  $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_Z)$  is isomorphic to the singular cohomology  $H^*(Z; \mathbf{k})$  of  $Z$ .*

**1.7. Relations between functors.** Let us introduce some notations.

**Definition 1.28.** For a locally closed subset  $Z \subset X$  and  $F \in \text{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$  we set  $\Gamma_Z(F) = \mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_Z, F)$ . For an open subset  $U \subset X$  we set  $\Gamma_Z(U; F) = \Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F))$ .

**Lemma 1.29.** *Let  $Z$  be locally closed and  $U$  be open.*

*If  $Z$  is closed, we have  $\Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F)) \simeq \{s \in F(U); \text{supp}(s) \subset Z \cap U\}$ .  
If  $Z$  is open, we have  $\Gamma(U; \Gamma_Z(F)) \simeq F(U \cap Z)$ .*

The functor  $\Gamma_Z(\cdot)$  is left exact and its derived functor is  $\text{R}\Gamma_Z(F) = \text{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_Z, F)$ . For an open subset  $U$  the functor  $\Gamma_Z(U; \cdot)$  is also left exact and we have  $\text{R}\Gamma_Z(U; F) \simeq \text{R}\Gamma(U; \text{R}\Gamma_Z(F))$ . We set

$$H_Z^i(U; F) = H^i \text{R}\Gamma_Z(U; F).$$

Let  $U \subset X$  be open and let  $F \in \text{D}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ . We can deduce from Lemma 1.15 the following long exact sequences (we use the notations

of the Lemma):

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^i(U; F_{W \setminus W'}) &\rightarrow H^i(U; F_W) \rightarrow H^i(U; F_{W'}) \\ &\rightarrow H^{i+1}(U; F_{W \setminus W'}) \rightarrow \dots, \\ \dots \rightarrow H_{W'}^i(U; F) &\rightarrow H_W^i(U; F) \rightarrow H_{W \setminus W'}^i(U; F) \\ &\rightarrow H_{W'}^{i+1}(U; F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

We can also deduce from Lemma 1.16 the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}^i(U; F) &\rightarrow H_{Z_1}^i(U; F) \oplus H_{Z_2}^i(U; F) \\ &\rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cup Z_2}^i(U; F) \rightarrow H_{Z_1 \cap Z_2}^{i+1}(U; F) \rightarrow \dots, \\ \dots \rightarrow H^i(U_1 \cup U_2; F) &\rightarrow H^i(U_1; F) \oplus H^i(U_2; F) \\ &\rightarrow H^i(U_1 \cap U_2; F) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(U_1 \cup U_2; F) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using these sequences we can deduce from Theorem 1.27

**Lemma 1.30.** *Let  $U \subset X$  be an open subset such that  $\bar{U}$  is compact. Then  $H^*(X; \mathbf{k}_U) \simeq H_c^*(U; \mathbf{k})$ .*

We denote by  $\omega_X$  the dualizing complex on  $X$ . If  $X$  is a manifold,  $\omega_X$  is actually the orientation sheaf shifted by the dimension, that is,  $\omega_X \simeq or_X[d_X]$ . The duality functors are defined by

$$(1.6) \quad D_X(\bullet) = R\mathcal{H}om(\bullet, \omega_X), \quad D'_X(\bullet) = R\mathcal{H}om(\bullet, \mathbf{k}_X).$$

An important result is the existence of a right adjoint for the derived proper direct image  $Rf_!$  (Poincaré-Verdier duality). It is defined under fairly general hypothesis. At least, if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map of manifolds, there exists  $f^!: D^b(\mathbf{k}_Y) \rightarrow D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$  right adjoint to  $Rf_!$ , which implies in particular

$$\mathrm{Hom}(Rf_!F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(F, f^!G)$$

for all  $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ ,  $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ . When  $f$  is a locally closed embedding we have

$$f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(R\Gamma_X(G)).$$

When  $f$  is a submersion, we have, setting  $\omega_{X|Y} = R\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}(\omega_Y), \omega_X)$

$$f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(G) \otimes \omega_{X|Y}.$$

In particular if  $f$  is a submersion with oriented fiber of dimension  $d$ ,  $f^!G \simeq f^{-1}(G)[d]$ .

We recall some useful facts (see [3, §2, §3]).

**Proposition 1.31.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of manifolds,  $F, G, H \in D(\mathbf{k}_X)$ ,  $F', G' \in D(\mathbf{k}_Y)$ . Then we have*

(a)  $R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, F) \simeq R\Gamma(U; F)$ , for  $U \subset X$  open,



- (b)  $R\Gamma(U; R\mathcal{H}om(F, G)) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(F|_U, G|_U)$ , for  $U \subset X$  open,
- (c)  $H^i F$  is the sheaf associated with  $V \mapsto H^i(V; F)$ ,
- (d)  $H^i R\mathcal{H}om(F, G)$  is the sheaf associated with  $V \mapsto \mathcal{H}om(F|_V, G|_V[i])$ ,
- (e)  $R\mathcal{H}om(F \overset{L}{\otimes} G, H) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(F, R\mathcal{H}om(G, H))$ ,
- (f)  $Rf_!(F \overset{L}{\otimes} f^{-1}F') \simeq (Rf_!F) \overset{L}{\otimes} F'$ , (projection formula),
- (g)  $f^! R\mathcal{H}om(F', G') \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(f^{-1}F', f^!G')$ ,
- (h)  $Rf_* R\mathcal{H}om(F, G) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(Rf_!F, Rf_*G)$ , if  $f$  is an embedding,

- (i) for a Cartesian diagram
- $$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow g' \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \end{array}$$
- we have the base change

formula  $f'^{-1}Rg'_!(F') \simeq Rg_!f^{-1}(F')$ ,

The adjunction between  $\overset{L}{\otimes}$  and  $R\mathcal{H}om$  together with  $\mathbf{k}_U \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \simeq \mathbf{k}_U$  give

$$\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})) \simeq \mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, \mathbf{k}_X) \simeq H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_X)$$

and the canonical section  $1 \in H^0(U; \mathbf{k}_X)$  gives a morphism  $\mathbf{k}_U \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})$ . Similarly we have a natural morphism  $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$ . In the following case they are isomorphisms.

**Lemma 1.32.** *If the inclusion  $U \subset X$  is locally homeomorphic to the inclusion  $]-\infty, 0[ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  (for example, if  $\partial U$  is smooth), then the above morphisms  $\mathbf{k}_U \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}})$  and  $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$  are isomorphisms:*

$$(1.7) \quad \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} D'(\mathbf{k}_U), \quad \mathbf{k}_U \xrightarrow{\simeq} D'(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}).$$

*Proof.* Let us prove the first isomorphism. It is enough to check that  $\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}} \rightarrow D'(\mathbf{k}_U)$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathbf{k} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (D'(\mathbf{k}_U))_x$  for each  $x \in X$ . Since  $D'(\mathbf{k}_U) = R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U, \mathbf{k}_X)$ , Proposition 1.31-(b-c) gives

$$H^i(D'(\mathbf{k}_U))_x \simeq \varinjlim_{x \in V} \mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U|_V, \mathbf{k}_X|_V[i]).$$

By (a) we have  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_U|_V, \mathbf{k}_X|_V[i]) \simeq H^i(U \cap V; \mathbf{k}_X)$ . By Theorem 1.27 this is the cohomology of  $U \cap V$  which can be chosen contractible in our inductive limit.  $\square$

**Example 1.33.** We have  $R\Gamma_{\{0\}}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \simeq \mathbf{k}_{\{0\}}[-n]$ . Indeed the sheaf  $R\Gamma_{\{0\}}\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$  has support  $\{0\}$  and its stalk at 0 coincides with its global sections. We have the excision exact sequence

$$H_{\{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \rightarrow H_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}).$$

By Proposition 1.31-(a)  $H_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}^i(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \simeq H^i(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$  and this is the cohomology of the sphere. The result follows.

**Example 1.34.** The previous example generalizes as follows. Let  $X$  be a manifold and  $Z$  a submanifold of codimension  $d$ . Then  $\mathrm{R}\Gamma_Z(\mathbf{k}_X) \simeq \mathrm{or}_{Z|X}[-d]$  where  $\mathrm{or}_{Z|X}$  is the relative orientation sheaf.

**Example 1.35.** In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we define  $Z = \{x \geq 0; y \geq 0\}$  and  $U = \{x < 0; y < 0\}$ . Then  $\mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U) \simeq \mathbf{k}[-2]$ . Indeed, by Lemma 1.32 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U) &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathrm{R}\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2})) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_Z \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_{Z \cap \bar{U}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RHom}(\mathbf{k}_{\{0\}}, \mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from Example 1.33.

**Example 1.36.** By the previous example  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbf{k}_Z, \mathbf{k}_U[2]) \simeq \mathbf{k}$ . Let  $u: \mathbf{k}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_U[2]$  be the image of  $1 \in \mathbf{k}$ . Let  $F \in \mathrm{D}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2})$  be given by the dt  $F \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_Z \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_U[2] \xrightarrow{+1}$ . Then  $F$  is isomorphic to the complex  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{k}_{Z_1} \oplus \mathbf{k}_{Z_2}$  where  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  is in degree 0,  $Z_1 = \{x \geq 0\}$ ,  $Z_2 = \{y \geq 0\}$  and  $d$  is the sum of the natural morphisms  $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_{Z_i}$  induced by the inclusions of closed subsets  $Z_i \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ .

## 2. DERIVED CATEGORIES

### 2.1. Categories of complexes.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category. A complex  $(X^\cdot, d_X)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a sequence of composable morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\dots \rightarrow X^i \xrightarrow{d_X^i} X^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

such that  $d^{i+1} \circ d^i = 0$ , for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (we forget the subscripts when there is no ambiguity). The sequence of morphisms  $d_X^i$  is called the differential.

A morphism  $f$  from a complex  $(X^\cdot, d_X)$  to a complex  $(Y^\cdot, d_Y)$  is a sequence of morphisms  $f^i: X^i \rightarrow Y^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , commuting with the differentials.

We denote by  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  the category of complexes in  $\mathcal{C}$ . A complex is said bounded from below (resp. above) if  $X^i \simeq 0$  for  $i \ll 0$  (resp.  $i \gg 0$ ). It is bounded if it bounded from below and from above. We let  $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^-(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathbf{C}^b(\mathcal{C})$  be the corresponding categories.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and let  $X = (X^\cdot, d_X) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} Z^i(X) &= \ker d_X^i, & B^i(X) &= \operatorname{im} d_X^{i-1}, \\ H^i(X) &= Z^i(X)/B^i(X) = \operatorname{coker}(B^i(X) \rightarrow Z^i(X)) \end{aligned}$$

and we call  $H^i(X)$  the  $i^{\text{th}}$  cohomology of  $X$ . In the case of the category of groups  $Z^i(X)$  (resp.  $B^i(X)$ ) is called the  $i^{\text{th}}$  group of cocycles (resp. boundaries).

A morphism of complexes  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces morphisms  $Z^i(f)$ ,  $B^i(f)$ ,  $H^i(f)$  and  $Z^i, B^i, H^i$  are functors from  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  to  $\mathcal{C}$ . We say that  $f$  is a quasi-isomorphism if the morphisms  $H^i(f): H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y)$  are isomorphisms, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is abelian, then  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  is also abelian. Moreover for a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  we have  $(\ker f)^i = \ker(f^i)$  and  $(\operatorname{coker} f)^i = \operatorname{coker}(f^i)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and let  $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then there exists a canonical long exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{H^n(f)} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{H^n(g)} H^n(Z) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} H^{n+1}(X) \\ \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(f)} H^{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(g)} H^{n+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and let  $I \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ . We say that  $I$  is *injective* if the functor  $\operatorname{Hom}(\cdot, I)$  is exact, that is, if for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ , the sequence  $\operatorname{Hom}(A, I) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, I) \rightarrow 0$  is exact. We say that  $\mathcal{C}$  has *enough injectives* if for any  $M \in \operatorname{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there exist an injective object  $I$  and an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. We assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough projectives. Then any  $X \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$  has an injective (right) resolution, that is, a morphism  $u: X \rightarrow I$  in  $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $u$  is a quasi-isomorphism and  $I^k$  is injective for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

This proposition holds in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  but the right notion of injective resolution is more complicated. The next proposition says that a projective resolution is unique up to homotopy in the following sense.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category and let  $P = (P^\cdot, d_P), Q = (Q^\cdot, d_Q) \in \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ . We say that two morphisms  $f, g: P \rightarrow Q$  in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$

are homotopic if there exists a family of morphisms  $s^i: P^i \rightarrow Q^{i-1}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , such that

$$f^n - g^n = d_Q^{n-1} \circ s^n + s^{n+1} \circ d_P^n,$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The homotopy relation is compatible with the additive structure of  $\text{Hom}(P, Q)$  and with the composition in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ . It follows that we can define a category of *complexes up to homotopy* as follows.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an additive category. We define a category  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$  by  $\text{Ob}(\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})) = \text{Ob}(\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}))$  and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q) / \sim_h,$$

where  $\sim_h$  is the homotopy relation on  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})}(P, Q)$ . We have an obvious functor  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  which is the identity on objects and the quotient map on the morphisms.

The category  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$  is additive. It is no longer abelian but it has a triangulated structure.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category, let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$  and let  $v: Y \rightarrow J$  be an injective resolution in  $\mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism and  $u: X \rightarrow I$  a quasi-isomorphism. Then there exists a morphism  $f': I \rightarrow J$  such that  $v \circ f = f' \circ u$ . Moreover, if  $f'': I \rightarrow J$  is another such morphism, then  $f'$  and  $f''$  are homotopic. In particular two injective resolutions of  $X$  are canonically isomorphic in  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ .*

**2.2. Definition of derived categories.** Here we only give a brief account on the subject and refer to the first chapter of [3] or to [?] for details and proofs.

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and let  $u: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  or in  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . We say that  $u$  is a quasi-isomorphism (qis for short) if the morphisms  $H^i(u): H^i(X) \rightarrow H^i(Y)$  are isomorphisms, for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The derived category of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ , is obtained from  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  by inverting the qis. This process is called *localization*.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a category and  $\mathcal{S}$  a family of morphisms in  $\mathcal{A}$ . A localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\mathcal{S}$  is a category  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$  (a priori in a bigger universe) and a functor  $Q: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$  such that

- (i) for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $Q(s)$  is an isomorphism,
- (ii) for any category  $\mathcal{B}$  and any functor  $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $F(s)$  is an isomorphism for all  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a (unique) functor  $F_{\mathcal{S}}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  such that  $F \simeq F_{\mathcal{S}} \circ Q$ ,



where  $t, v, t \in \mathcal{S}$ , are given by (iii) in Definition 2.11.

Let us go back to our abelian category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $Q_{is}$  be the family of  $q_{is}$  in  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ . Then  $Q_{is}$  is a left (and right) multiplicative system.*

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. The derived category of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the localization  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{Q_{is}}$ . We denote by  $Q_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$  the localization functor (or its composition with  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$ ). Starting with  $\mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{C})$  where  $*$  = +, - or  $b$ , we define in the same way  $\mathbf{D}^*(\mathcal{C})$ .

The categories  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$  are additive. They are not abelian in general.

By definition the cohomology functors  $H^i: \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , factorize through the localization functor. We still denote by  $H^i: \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  the induced functors.

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$  be abelian categories. Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  be an exact functor. Then  $\mathbf{C}(F)$  sends  $q_{is}$  to  $q_{is}$ . In particular  $Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ \mathbf{C}(F): \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}')$  sends  $q_{is}$  to isomorphisms and factorizes in a unique way through a functor  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}')$  that we still denote by  $F$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{K}(F)} & \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C}') \\ Q_{\mathcal{C}} \downarrow & & \downarrow Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \\ \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C}'). \end{array}$$

**Remark 2.16.** We have a natural embedding of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  which sends  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  to the complex  $(X, d_X)$  with  $X^0 = X$  and  $X^i = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ . This induces by composition other functors  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ . We can check that all these functors are fully faithful embeddings of  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $\mathbf{K}(\mathcal{C})$  or  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ .

Proposition 2.8 translate as follows.

**Proposition 2.17.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. We assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough injectives and we let  $\mathcal{I}$  be its full subcategory of injective objects. We denote by  $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}: \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C})$  the functor induced by the quotient functor. Then  $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is an equivalence of categories.*

**Definition 2.18** (Derived functor). Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$  be abelian categories. We assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  has enough injectives. Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  (or  $F: \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^+(\mathcal{C}')$ ) be a left exact functor. Let  $\mathbf{K}(F): \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}^+(\mathcal{C}')$  be the functor induced by  $F$ . We define  $R\mathbf{K}(F): \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{C}')$  by  $R\mathbf{K}(F) =$

$Q_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ \mathbf{K}(F) \circ \mathbf{res}$ , where  $\mathbf{res}$  is an inverse to the equivalence  $Q|_{\mathcal{I}}$  of Proposition 2.17.

If  $F$  is exact then  $RF \simeq F$  (with the notation of Lemma 2.15). For a left exact functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  and  $X \in \mathcal{C}$  we have  $H^0 RF(X) \simeq F(X)$  (using the embedding of Remark 2.16).

**Truncation functors.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category. For a given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we define  $\tau_{\leq n}, \tau_{\geq n}: \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq n}(X) &= \cdots \rightarrow X^{n-2} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \rightarrow \ker(d_X^n) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots \\ \tau_{\geq n}(X) &= \cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker}(d_X^{n-1}) \rightarrow X^{n+1} \rightarrow X^{n+2} \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

We have natural morphisms in  $\mathbf{C}(\mathcal{C})$ , for  $n \leq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\leq n}(X) &\rightarrow X, & X &\rightarrow \tau_{\geq n}(X), \\ \tau_{\leq n}(X) &\rightarrow \tau_{\leq m}(X), & \tau_{\geq n}(X) &\rightarrow \tau_{\geq m}(X). \end{aligned}$$

We have  $H^i(\tau_{\leq n}(X)) \simeq H^i(X)$  for  $i \leq n$  and  $H^i(\tau_{\leq n}(X)) \simeq 0$  for  $i > 0$ . We have a similar result for  $\tau_{\geq n}(X)$  and the above morphisms induce the tautological morphisms on the cohomology (that is, the identity morphism of  $H^i$  if both groups are non-zero, or the zero morphism).

In particular the functors  $\tau_{\leq n}, \tau_{\geq n}$  send qis to qis and they induce functors, denoted in the same way, on  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ , together with the same morphisms of functors. We see from the definition, for any  $X \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$  and any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_{\leq i} \tau_{\geq i}(X) \simeq \tau_{\geq i} \tau_{\leq i}(X) \simeq H^i(X)[-i].$$

**Lemma 2.19.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an abelian category and let  $X \in \mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$  be an objet concentrated in one degree  $i_0$ , that is,  $H^i(X) \simeq 0$  if  $i \neq i_0$ . Then  $X \simeq H^{i_0}(X)[-i_0]$ .*

*Proof.* By the hypothesis and by the description of the cohomology of  $\tau_{\leq n}(X), \tau_{\geq n}(X)$ , the morphisms  $\tau_{\leq i_0}(X) \rightarrow X$  and  $\tau_{\leq i_0}(X) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq i_0}(\tau_{\leq i_0}(X))$  are isomorphisms in  $\mathbf{D}(\mathcal{C})$ . Hence  $X \simeq \tau_{\geq i_0}(\tau_{\leq i_0}(X))$  and we conclude with (2.1).  $\square$

**2.3. Triangulated structure.** We recall that a triangulated category  $\mathcal{T}$  is an additive category endowed with an auto-equivalence  $X \mapsto X[1]$  and a family of distinguish triangles (dt)  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  such that

- (TR1) every morphism can be extended to distinguished triangle, the collection of distinguished triangles is stable under isomorphism and, for any  $X \in \mathcal{T}$  the triangle  $X \xrightarrow{\operatorname{id}} X \xrightarrow{0} 0 \xrightarrow{0} X[1]$  is distinguished,

- (TR2)  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  is a dt if and only if  $Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1] \xrightarrow{-f[1]} Y[1]$  is a dt,
- (TR3) for two dt  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  and  $X' \xrightarrow{f'} Y' \xrightarrow{g'} Z' \xrightarrow{h'} X'[1]$ , any commutative square  $f' \circ u = v \circ f$  (with  $u: X \rightarrow X'$ ,  $v: Y \rightarrow Y'$ ) can be extended to a morphism of triangles (that is, there exists  $w: Z \rightarrow Z'$  making two other commutative squares),
- (TR4) octahedral axiom (it is the distinguished triangle version of the isomorphism  $(C/A)/(B/A) \simeq C/B$  for two inclusions of  $\mathbf{k}$ -modules  $A \hookrightarrow B \hookrightarrow C$ ).

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is an abelian category, then  $D(\mathcal{C})$  is triangulated. If  $0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \rightarrow 0$  is a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C})$ , then there exists a morphism  $Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  in  $D(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  is a dt. If  $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} X[1]$  is a dt in  $D(\mathcal{C})$ , then we have a long exact sequence in  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^n(X) \xrightarrow{H^n(f)} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{H^n(g)} H^n(Z) \xrightarrow{H^n(h)} H^{n+1}(X) \\ \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(f)} H^{n+1}(Y) \xrightarrow{H^{n+1}(g)} H^{n+1}(Z) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The derived functor  $RF$  of Definition 2.18 is triangulated (i.e. it sends a dt to a dt).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Micro-support des faisceaux: applications aux modules différentiels*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris série I Math **295** 8, 487–490 (1982).
- [2] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Microlocal study of sheaves*, Astérisque **128** Soc. Math. France (1985).
- [3] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira, *Sheaves on Manifolds*, Grundlehren der Math. Wiss. **292** Springer-Verlag (1990).
- [4] M. Robalo and P. Schapira, *A lemma for microlocal sheaf theory in the  $\infty$ -categorical setting*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. Vol. **54** 379–391 (2018).
- [5] O. Schnürer and W. Soergel, *Proper base change for separated locally proper maps*, Rendiconti del Seminario matematico della Università di Padova **135** (2016).